UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,806	05/27/2005	Bernd Wenderoth	3557-43	4541
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/12/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No	o.	Applicant(s)				
		10/536,806		WENDEROTH ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Necholus Ogde	en	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriation of the second section and the second	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior for to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS (1.136(a). In no event, ho d will apply and will expirate, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time or SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	March 2008						
•		nis action is non-fi	nal					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requir	rement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner						
•			biected to by the F	Examiner.				
. • / 🗀	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/536,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eaton et al (6,818,146) in view of WO (02/055630).

Eaton et al disclose a nontoxic fuel cell engine coolant comprising aqueous solutions of 1,3 propanediol having 50, 55 and 60% volume percent in water (col. 3, lines 10-30) and wherein said solution comprises 0.002 to 0.02% by weight of mercaptobenzothiazole, benzyltriazole in water (see claims 5 and 6). Eaton et al specifically teach that said conductivity is less than 50 in tables 6 and 7.

Eaton et al disclose all of the instantly required except the orthosilicic acid esters.

WO '630 discloses orthosilicic acid esters in fuel cell engine coolant compositions having a conductivity of less than 50 us/cm (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the orthosilicic acid esters of WO '630 to the compositions of Eaton et al because WO '630 teaches that said orthosilicic acid esters aid in preventing short circuits and corrosion in said fuel cells (page 2, lines 24-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include an orthosilicic acid ester as suggested by WO '630 to the compositions of Eaton et al because only beneficial and or synergistic would have been obtained in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO (02/055759 in view of WO (02/055630).

WO '759 discloses a nontoxic fuel cell engine coolant comprising aqueous solutions of 1,3 propanediol having 50, 55 and 60% volume percent in water (page 9, line 23-page 10, line 10 and page 11, lines 13-31) and wherein said solution comprises 0.002 to 0.02% by weight of mercaptobenzothiazole, benzyltriazole in water (see claims 6 and 7). WO '759 specifically teaches that said conductivity is less than 50 in tables 6 and 7

WO '759 does not disclose an orthosilicic acid ester.

WO '630 discloses orthosilicic acid esters in fuel cell engine coolant compositions having a conductivity of less than 50 us/cm (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the orthosilicic acid esters of WO '630 to the compositions of WO '759 because WO '630 teaches that said orthosilicic acid esters aid in preventing short circuits and corrosion in said fuel cells (page 2, lines 24-28).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to include an orthosilicic acid ester as suggested by WO '630 to the compositions of WO '759 because only beneficial and or synergistic would have been obtained in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 03-12-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant argues that Table 1 of applicant's specification shows unexpected results, commensurate in scope with the claimed invention, by providing illustrations of

his compositions having conductivity less than 10 uS/cm for 28 days of use or specifically the longevity or duration of his composition.

Page 4

5. The examiner contends that applicant's showing in Table 1 does little to distinguish the claimed invention form the prior art of record. First, applicant does not compare the claimed invention against the prior art of record wherein a clear distinction could be made. Secondly, the examiner asserts that low conductivity amongst fuel cells has already been disclosed in Eaton '146 at column 10, lines 57-63 and WO '759 at page 9, lines 21-25 and Tables 6 & 7, which states that compositions having greater than 250 kOhm-cm are desired to formulate fuel cell coolants to comprise a low conductivity. Moreover, secondary reference WO '630 further adds by suggesting that said silicone additive be employed to aid in reducing the conductivity to less than 5 uS/cm (page 4, lines 31-36). Therefore, nothing is seen unexpected by providing fuel cell coolant compositions with low conductivity of less than 10 uS/cm since the prior art of record teaches known ingredients that aid in establishing fuel cell coolants with conductivity of less than 5 uS/cm. Accordingly, it is held that an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. In re Burckel, 592 F.2d 1175, 201 USPQ 67 (CCPA 1979). "A comparison of the claimed invention with the disclosure of each cited reference to determine the number of claim limitations in common with each reference, bearing in mind the relative importance of particular limitations, will usually yield the closest single prior art reference." In re Merchant, 575 F.2d 865, 868, 197 USPQ 785, 787 (CCPA 1978). Where the comparison is not identical with the

reference disclosure, deviations therefrom should be explained, In re Finley, 174 F.2d 130, 8 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1949), and if not explained should be noted and evaluated, and if significant, explanation should be required. In re Armstrong, 280 F.2d 132, 126 USPQ 281 (CCPA 1960).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,806 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Necholus Ogden/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

6-8-2008